Pathwise Stochastic Analysis and Applications CIRM, Marseille (Virtual conference)

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold: A simple example

Nils Berglund

Institut Denis Poisson, Université d'Orléans, France

March 8, 2021

joint works with Christian Kuehn (TU Munich) and Yvain Bruned (Edinburgh)





Nils Berglund

nils.berglund@univ-orleans.fr

https://www.idpoisson.fr/berglund/

The fractional Φ_d^3 model

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{\rho/2} u = u^2 + \xi$$

- $\triangleright \ u = u(t,x), \ t \ge 0, \ x \in \mathbb{T}^d$
- ▷ $-(-\Delta)^{
 ho/2}$ fractional Laplacian, $ho \in (0,2]$
- $\triangleright \ \xi$ space-time white noise

The fractional Φ_d^3 model

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{\rho/2} u = u^2 + \xi$$

$$\triangleright \ u = u(t,x), \ t \geqslant 0, \ x \in \mathbb{T}^d$$

- ▷ $-(-\Delta)^{
 ho/2}$ fractional Laplacian, $ho \in (0,2]$
- $\triangleright \ \xi$ space-time white noise

Ill-posed in general, need to consider renormalised equation

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{\rho/2} u = u^2 + C(\varepsilon, \rho, u) + \xi^{\varepsilon}$$

where $\xi^{\varepsilon} = \varrho^{\varepsilon} * \xi$ mollified noise

The fractional Φ_d^3 model

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{\rho/2} u = u^2 + \xi$$

$$\triangleright \ u = u(t,x), \ t \geqslant 0, \ x \in \mathbb{T}^d$$

- ▷ $-(-\Delta)^{
 ho/2}$ fractional Laplacian, $ho \in (0,2]$
- $\triangleright \ \xi$ space-time white noise

Ill-posed in general, need to consider renormalised equation

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{\rho/2} u = u^2 + C(\varepsilon, \rho, u) + \xi^{\varepsilon}$$

where $\xi^{\varepsilon} = \varrho^{\varepsilon} * \xi$ mollified noise

Motivations:

- simple yet interesting application of general theory of BPHZ renormalisation (after Bogoliubow, Parasiuk, Hepp & Zimmermann)
- ▷ asymptotics of vanishing local subcriticality as $\rho \searrow \rho_{c}(d)$
- ▷ coupled SPDE–ODE systems, simplification of Fisher–KPP equation

Some recent progress on singular SPDEs

- Martin Hairer, A theory of regularity structures, Invent. Math. 198:269–504, 2014.
 - General theory of function spaces allowing to solve (subcritical) singular SPDEs
 - \diamond Ad hoc renormalisation of some particular SPDEs (PAM, Φ_3^4)

Some recent progress on singular SPDEs

- Martin Hairer, A theory of regularity structures, Invent. Math. 198:269–504, 2014.
 - General theory of function spaces allowing to solve (subcritical) singular SPDEs
 - \diamond Ad hoc renormalisation of some particular SPDEs (PAM, Φ_3^4)
- Yvain Bruned, Martin Hairer, and Lorenzo Zambotti, Algebraic renormalisation of regularity structures, Invent. Math., 215:1039–1156, 2019.
- Ajay Chandra and Martin Hairer, An analytic BPHZ theorem for regularity structures, arXiv:1612.08138, 113 pages, 2016.
- Yvain Bruned, Ajay Chandra, Ilya Chevyrev, and Martin Hairer, *Renormalising SPDEs in regularity structures*, J. European Mathematical Society, 23:869–947, 2019.
 - ♦ Systematic way of renormalising subcritical singular SPDEs

Solving (non-singular) SPDEs $\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{\rho/2} u = u^2 + \xi$

Duhamel formula: $u = P_{\rho}u_0 + P_{\rho} * [u^2 + \xi], \qquad P_{\rho} = [\partial_t + (-\Delta)^{\rho/2}]^{-1}$

Solving (non-singular) SPDEs

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{\rho/2} u = u^2 + \xi$$

Duhamel formula: $u = P_{\rho}u_0 + P_{\rho}*[u^2 + \xi], \qquad P_{\rho} = [\partial_t + (-\Delta)^{\rho/2}]^{-1}$

Scaled Hölder–Besov spaces $C_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\alpha}$:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \triangleright \ 0 < \alpha < 1: \ u \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\alpha} & \Longleftrightarrow \quad |u(\bar{z}) - u(z)| \lesssim |\bar{z} - z|_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\alpha}, \\ & \text{where } |z|_{\mathfrak{s}} := |z_{0}|^{1/\rho} + \sum_{i} |z_{i}| \\ \triangleright \ \alpha > 1: \ u \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\alpha} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathsf{D}^{k} u \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\alpha - |k|_{\mathfrak{s}}} \text{ for } 0 < |k|_{\mathfrak{s}} := \rho k_{0} + \sum_{i} |k_{i}| < \alpha \\ \triangleright \ \alpha < 0: \ u \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\alpha} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad |\langle u, \mathscr{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\lambda} \varphi \rangle| \lesssim \lambda^{\alpha} \\ & \text{where } (\mathscr{S}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\lambda} \varphi)(\bar{z}) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\rho + d}} \varphi(\frac{\bar{z}_{0} - z_{0}}{\lambda^{\rho}}, \frac{\bar{z}_{i} - z_{i}}{\lambda}) \end{array}$

Solving (non-singular) SPDEs

$$\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{\rho/2} u = u^2 + \xi$$

Duhamel formula: $u = P_{
ho}u_0 + P_{
ho}*[u^2+\xi]$, $P_{
ho} = [\partial_t + (-\Delta)^{
ho/2}]^{-1}$

Scaled Hölder–Besov spaces $C_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\alpha}$:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \triangleright \ 0 < \alpha < 1: \ u \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\alpha} & \Longleftrightarrow & |u(\bar{z}) - u(z)| \lesssim |\bar{z} - z|_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\alpha}, \\ & \text{where } |z|_{\mathfrak{s}} := |z_{0}|^{1/\rho} + \sum_{i} |z_{i}| \\ \triangleright \ \alpha > 1: \ u \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\alpha} & \Longleftrightarrow & \mathsf{D}^{k} u \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\alpha - |k|_{\mathfrak{s}}} \text{ for } 0 < |k|_{\mathfrak{s}} := \rho k_{0} + \sum_{i} |k_{i}| < \alpha \\ \triangleright \ \alpha < 0: \ u \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\alpha} & \Longleftrightarrow & |\langle u, \mathscr{S}_{z}^{\lambda} \varphi \rangle| \lesssim \lambda^{\alpha} \\ & \text{where } (\mathscr{S}_{z}^{\lambda} \varphi)(\bar{z}) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\rho + d}} \varphi(\frac{\bar{z}_{0} - z_{0}}{\lambda^{\rho}}, \frac{\bar{z}_{i} - z_{i}}{\lambda}) \end{array}$

Space-time white noise: $\xi \in C_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\alpha} \quad \forall \alpha < -\frac{\rho+d}{2}$ Schauder estimate: $u \in C_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\alpha}$, $\alpha + \rho \notin \mathbb{Z} \implies P_{\rho} * u \in C_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\alpha+\rho}$ Consequence: $P_{\rho} * \xi \in C_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\alpha} \quad \forall \alpha < \frac{\rho-d}{2}$ Local solutions in the "classical sense" exist iff $\rho > d$

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

Local subcriticality

 $\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{\rho/2} u = u^2 + \xi$ Scaling: $\bar{u}(t, x) = \lambda^{\alpha} u(\lambda^{\beta} t, \lambda x)$ $\implies \quad \partial_t \bar{u} + \lambda^{\beta-\rho} (-\Delta)^{\rho/2} \bar{u} = \lambda^{\beta-\alpha} \bar{u}^2 + \lambda^{\alpha+\frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{d}{2}} \xi$ $\beta = \rho, \ \alpha = \frac{d-\rho}{2} \implies \quad \partial_t \bar{u} + (-\Delta)^{\rho/2} \bar{u} = \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}(\rho-\frac{d}{3})} \bar{u}^2 + \xi$

Local subcriticality

 $\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{\rho/2} u = u^2 + \xi$ Scaling: $\bar{u}(t, x) = \lambda^{\alpha} u(\lambda^{\beta} t, \lambda x)$ $\implies \quad \partial_t \bar{u} + \lambda^{\beta-\rho} (-\Delta)^{\rho/2} \bar{u} = \lambda^{\beta-\alpha} \bar{u}^2 + \lambda^{\alpha+\frac{\beta}{2}-\frac{d}{2}} \xi$ $\beta = \rho, \ \alpha = \frac{d-\rho}{2} \implies \quad \partial_t \bar{u} + (-\Delta)^{\rho/2} \bar{u} = \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}(\rho-\frac{d}{3})} \bar{u}^2 + \xi$ Definition: The equation is locally subcritical iff $\rho > \rho_c = \frac{d}{3}$



Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

Main result

Theorem: [B & Bruned, '19] If $\xi^{\varepsilon} = \varrho^{\varepsilon} * \xi$, $\varrho^{\varepsilon}(t, x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\rho+d}} \varrho(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^{\rho}}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})$,

$$\partial_t u - \Delta^{\rho/2} u = u^2 + C_0(\varepsilon, \rho) + C_1(\varepsilon, \rho) u + \xi^{\varepsilon}$$

has for $\rho > \rho_c$ local solutions admitting limit as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$

Main result

Theorem: [B & Bruned, '19] If $\xi^{\varepsilon} = \varrho^{\varepsilon} * \xi$, $\varrho^{\varepsilon}(t, x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\rho+d}} \varrho(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^{\rho}}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})$, $\partial_t u - \Delta^{\rho/2} u = u^2 + C_0(\varepsilon, \rho) + C_1(\varepsilon, \rho)u + \xi^{\varepsilon}$

has for $\rho > \rho_c$ local solutions admitting limit as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ for C_0 , C_1 s.t.

$$\mathcal{C}_{0}(\varepsilon,\rho) \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{\log(\varepsilon^{-1})}{\varepsilon_{c}^{d-\rho}} & \varepsilon \geqslant \varepsilon_{c} \\ \frac{A_{0}}{\varepsilon^{d-\rho}} & \varepsilon < \varepsilon_{c} \end{cases} \qquad \mathcal{C}_{1}(\varepsilon,\rho) \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{\log(\varepsilon^{-1})}{\bar{\varepsilon}_{c}^{d-2\rho}} & \varepsilon \geqslant \bar{\varepsilon}_{c} \\ \frac{\bar{A}_{0}}{\varepsilon^{d-2\rho}} & \varepsilon < \bar{\varepsilon}_{c} \end{cases}$$

Main result

Theorem: [B & Bruned, '19] If $\xi^{\varepsilon} = \varrho^{\varepsilon} * \xi$, $\varrho^{\varepsilon}(t, x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\rho+d}} \varrho(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^{\rho}}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon})$, $\partial_t u - \Delta^{\rho/2} u = u^2 + C_0(\varepsilon, \rho) + C_1(\varepsilon, \rho)u + \xi^{\varepsilon}$

has for $\rho > \rho_c$ local solutions admitting limit as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ for C_0 , C_1 s.t.

$$C_{0}(\varepsilon,\rho) \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{\log(\varepsilon^{-1})}{\varepsilon_{c}^{d-\rho}} & \varepsilon \geqslant \varepsilon_{c} \\ \frac{A_{0}}{\varepsilon^{d-\rho}} & \varepsilon < \varepsilon_{c} \end{cases} \qquad C_{1}(\varepsilon,\rho) \simeq \begin{cases} \frac{\log(\varepsilon^{-1})}{\bar{\varepsilon}_{c}^{d-2\rho}} & \varepsilon \geqslant \bar{\varepsilon}_{c} \\ \frac{\bar{A}_{0}}{\varepsilon^{d-2\rho}} & \varepsilon < \bar{\varepsilon}_{c} \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon}_{\rm c}(\rho) < \varepsilon_{\rm c}(\rho)$ both of order

$$\exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\rho-\rho_{c}}\left[\log\left(\frac{const}{\rho-\rho_{c}}\right)+\mathcal{O}(1)\right]\right\}$$

and A_0, \bar{A}_0 explicit constants

$$\varepsilon$$

$$C_{0} \simeq \log(\varepsilon^{-1})$$

$$C_{1} \simeq \log(\varepsilon^{-1})$$

$$C_{1} \simeq \varepsilon^{-(d-\rho)}$$

$$C_{1} \simeq \varepsilon^{-(d-2\rho)}$$

$$\rho - \rho_{c}$$

Regularity structures

Mollified equation: $\partial_t u^{\varepsilon} + (-\Delta)^{\rho/2} u^{\varepsilon} = (u^{\varepsilon})^2 + \xi^{\varepsilon}$



▷ $u^{\varepsilon} = \bar{\mathscr{I}}(u_0, \xi^{\varepsilon})$: fixed point of $u^{\varepsilon} = P_{\rho}u_0 + P_{\rho}*[(u^{\varepsilon})^2 + \xi^{\varepsilon}]$ ▷ $U = \mathscr{I}(u_0, Z^{\varepsilon})$: fixed put of $U = P_{\rho}u_0 + \mathcal{I}_{\rho}[U^2 + \Xi] + \underbrace{\mathcal{I}}_{polynomial}(U)$ $U \in \mathcal{D}^{\gamma}$ space of modelled distributions

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

Regularity structures

Mollified equation: $\partial_t u^{\varepsilon} + (-\Delta)^{\rho/2} u^{\varepsilon} = (u^{\varepsilon})^2 + \xi^{\varepsilon} + C(\varepsilon, \rho, u)$



▷ $u_{M}^{\varepsilon} = \bar{\mathscr{S}}_{M}(u_{0}, \xi^{\varepsilon})$: fixed point of $u_{M}^{\varepsilon} = P_{\rho}u_{0} + P_{\rho}*[(u_{M}^{\varepsilon})^{2} + \xi^{\varepsilon} + C]$ ▷ $U_{M} = \mathscr{S}(u_{0}, MZ^{\varepsilon})$: fixed put of $U_{M} = P_{\rho}u_{0} + \mathcal{I}_{\rho}[U_{M}^{2} + \Xi] + \underbrace{p(U_{M})}_{\text{polynomial}}$

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

 \mathcal{T}_0 set of symbols containing

- $\triangleright \; \mathbf{X}^k = X_0^{k_0} \dots X_d^{k_d}$, degree $|\mathbf{X}^k|_{\mathfrak{s}} = |k|_{\mathfrak{s}}$
- $\triangleright \equiv$ representing ξ , degree $|\Xi|_{\mathfrak{s}} = -rac{
 ho+d}{2} \kappa$
- $\triangleright \ \tau_1, \tau_2 \in T_0 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \tau_2 \in T_0, \text{ degree } |\tau_1 \tau_2|_{\mathfrak{s}} = |\tau_1|_{\mathfrak{s}} + |\tau_2|_{\mathfrak{s}}$

 $\triangleright \ \tau \in T_0, \ \tau \neq \mathbf{X}^k \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\tau) \in T_0 \text{ repres. } P_{\rho} \ast u, \ |\mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\tau)|_{\mathfrak{s}} = |\tau|_{\mathfrak{s}} + \rho$

 $\triangleright \text{ In some cases, need symbols } \partial^{\ell} \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\tau), \ |\partial^{\ell} \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\tau)|_{\mathfrak{s}} = |\tau|_{\mathfrak{s}} + \rho - |\ell|_{\mathfrak{s}}$

 T_0 set of symbols containing

- $\triangleright \; \mathbf{X}^k = X_0^{k_0} \dots X_d^{k_d}$, degree $|\mathbf{X}^k|_{\mathfrak{s}} = |k|_{\mathfrak{s}}$
- $\triangleright \equiv$ representing ξ , degree $|\Xi|_{\mathfrak{s}} = -rac{
 ho+d}{2} \kappa$
- $\triangleright \ \tau_1, \tau_2 \in T_0 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \tau_2 \in T_0, \text{ degree } |\tau_1 \tau_2|_{\mathfrak{s}} = |\tau_1|_{\mathfrak{s}} + |\tau_2|_{\mathfrak{s}}$
- $\triangleright \ \tau \in T_0, \ \tau \neq \mathbf{X}^k \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\tau) \in T_0 \text{ repres. } P_{\rho} * u, \ |\mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\tau)|_{\mathfrak{s}} = |\tau|_{\mathfrak{s}} + \rho$
- $\triangleright \text{ In some cases, need symbols } \partial^{\ell} \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\tau), \ |\partial^{\ell} \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\tau)|_{\mathfrak{s}} = |\tau|_{\mathfrak{s}} + \rho |\ell|_{\mathfrak{s}}$

Convenient graphical notation:

$$\checkmark = \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\Xi)^{2} \qquad \checkmark = \left[\mathcal{I}_{\rho} \left(\mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\Xi)^{2} \right) \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\Xi) \right) \right]^{2}$$

$$\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}}$$

 \mathcal{T}_0 set of symbols containing

- $\triangleright \; \mathbf{X}^k = X_0^{k_0} \dots X_d^{k_d}$, degree $|\mathbf{X}^k|_{\mathfrak{s}} = |k|_{\mathfrak{s}}$
- $\triangleright \ \Xi \ {\rm representing} \ \xi, \ {\rm degree} \ |\Xi|_{\mathfrak{s}} = \frac{\rho + d}{2} \kappa$
- $\triangleright \ \tau_1, \tau_2 \in T_0 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \tau_2 \in T_0, \text{ degree } |\tau_1 \tau_2|_{\mathfrak{s}} = |\tau_1|_{\mathfrak{s}} + |\tau_2|_{\mathfrak{s}}$
- $\triangleright \ \tau \in T_0, \ \tau \neq \mathbf{X}^k \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\tau) \in T_0 \text{ repres. } P_{\rho} * u, \ |\mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\tau)|_{\mathfrak{s}} = |\tau|_{\mathfrak{s}} + \rho$
- $\triangleright \text{ In some cases, need symbols } \partial^\ell \mathcal{I}_\rho(\tau) \text{, } |\partial^\ell \mathcal{I}_\rho(\tau)|_{\mathfrak{s}} = |\tau|_{\mathfrak{s}} + \rho |\ell|_{\mathfrak{s}}$

Convenient graphical notation:

$$\mathbf{\nabla} = \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\Xi)^{2} \qquad \mathbf{\nabla} = \left[\mathcal{I}_{\rho} \Big(\mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\Xi)^{2} \Big) \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\Xi) \Big) \right]^{2}$$
$$\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}{\overset{\ell}}}}} = \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\mathbf{X}^{k} \partial^{\ell} \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\Xi))$$

Model space: graded vector space \mathcal{T} spanned by minimal $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{T}_0$ allowing to represent $U = \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\Xi + U^2) + p$ where $p = \sum_k c_k \mathbf{X}^k$ polynomial **Remark:** $\rho > \rho_c \Leftrightarrow$ degrees of $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ bdd below

Iterations of the fixed-point equation

$$U = \mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\Xi + U^2) + c_1(t, x)\mathbf{1} + \sum_{i=0}^d c_{\mathbf{X}_i}(t, x)\mathbf{X}_i + \dots$$

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

Proposition: [B & Kuehn '17]

Symbols $au \in \mathbf{T}$ of negative degree are

▷ either full binary trees, e.g. $\tau = \checkmark$, \checkmark , \checkmark , \checkmark , \checkmark , \checkmark

 $|\tau|_{\mathfrak{s}} = -\frac{2}{3}d + \frac{3m-1}{2}(
ho -
ho_{\mathsf{c}})$ - if au has 2m edges

- ▷ or almost full trees with one node decoration X_i , $1 \le i \le d$ (complete trees with decorations don't matter for symmetry reasons)

Proposition: [B & Kuehn '17]

Symbols $au \in \mathbf{T}$ of negative degree are

▷ either full binary trees, e.g. $\tau = \checkmark$, \checkmark , \checkmark , \checkmark , \checkmark , \checkmark , \checkmark

 $|\tau|_{\mathfrak{s}} = -\frac{2}{3}d + \frac{3m-1}{2}(
ho -
ho_{\mathsf{c}}) - \text{ if } au \text{ has } 2m \text{ edges}$

- ▷ or almost full trees with one node decoration X_i , $1 \le i \le d$ (complete trees with decorations don't matter for symmetry reasons)

Proposition: [B & Kuehn '17]

Number of symbols of negative degree is of order $(
hoho_{
m c})^{3/2} \, {
m e}^{eta d/(
hoho_{
m c})}$

Proof uses Wedderburn-Etherington numbers (rather than Catalan nbrs)

Model expectations

 $E(\tau) := \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{\Pi}^{\varepsilon} \tau)(0)]$ where $\mathbf{\Pi}^{\varepsilon}$ canonical model defined by

 $(\Pi^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{1})(z) = 1 \qquad (\Pi^{\varepsilon} \mathsf{X}_{i})(z) = z_{i} \qquad (\Pi^{\varepsilon} \Xi)(z) = \xi^{\varepsilon}(z)$ $(\Pi^{\varepsilon} \tau \overline{\tau})(z) = (\Pi^{\varepsilon} \tau)(z)(\Pi^{\varepsilon} \overline{\tau})(z)$ $(\Pi^{\varepsilon} \partial^{k} \mathcal{I}_{\rho} \tau)(z) = \int \partial^{k} \mathcal{K}_{\rho}(z - \overline{z})(\Pi^{\varepsilon} \tau)(\overline{z}) \, \mathrm{d}\overline{z} \qquad P_{\rho} = \mathcal{K}_{\rho} + \mathcal{R}_{\rho}$

Model expectations

 $E(\tau) := \mathbb{E}[(\mathbf{\Pi}^{\varepsilon} \tau)(0)]$ where $\mathbf{\Pi}^{\varepsilon}$ canonical model defined by

 $(\Pi^{\varepsilon} \mathbf{1})(z) = 1 \qquad (\Pi^{\varepsilon} \mathsf{X}_{i})(z) = z_{i} \qquad (\Pi^{\varepsilon} \Xi)(z) = \xi^{\varepsilon}(z)$ $(\Pi^{\varepsilon} \tau \overline{\tau})(z) = (\Pi^{\varepsilon} \tau)(z)(\Pi^{\varepsilon} \overline{\tau})(z)$

 $(\mathbf{\Pi}^{\varepsilon}\partial^{k}\mathcal{I}_{\rho}\tau)(z) = \int \partial^{k}\mathcal{K}_{\rho}(z-\bar{z})(\mathbf{\Pi}^{\varepsilon}\tau)(\bar{z})\,\mathrm{d}\bar{z} \qquad P_{\rho} = \mathcal{K}_{\rho} + \mathcal{R}_{\rho}$

Remark: $E(\tau) = 0$ for trees with odd # of leaves, for planted trees $\mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\tau)$, and for trees with one X_i decoration (and no edge decoration)

Model expectations

 $E(\tau) := \mathbb{E}[(\Pi^{\varepsilon}\tau)(0)]$ where Π^{ε} canonical model defined by

$$(\Pi^{\varepsilon}\mathbf{1})(z) = 1 \qquad (\Pi^{\varepsilon}\mathsf{X}_{i})(z) = z_{i} \qquad (\Pi^{\varepsilon}\Xi)(z) = \xi^{\varepsilon}(z)$$
$$(\Pi^{\varepsilon}\tau\bar{\tau})(z) = (\Pi^{\varepsilon}\tau)(z)(\Pi^{\varepsilon}\bar{\tau})(z)$$
$$(\Pi^{\varepsilon}\partial^{k}\mathcal{I}_{\rho}\tau)(z) = \int \partial^{k}K_{\rho}(z-\bar{z})(\Pi^{\varepsilon}\tau)(\bar{z}) \,\mathrm{d}\bar{z} \qquad P_{\rho} = K_{\rho} + R_{\rho}$$

Remark: $E(\tau) = 0$ for trees with odd # of leaves, for planted trees $\mathcal{I}_{\rho}(\tau)$, and for trees with one X_i decoration (and no edge decoration)

$$E(\uparrow) = \mathbb{E} \int \mathcal{K}_{\rho}(-z)\xi^{\varepsilon}(z) \, \mathrm{d}z = \int \mathcal{K}_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(-z)\mathbb{E}[\xi(\mathrm{d}z)] = 0 \qquad \mathcal{K}_{\rho}^{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{K}_{\rho} * \varrho^{\varepsilon}$$
$$E(\checkmark) = \int \mathcal{K}_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(-z_{1})\mathcal{K}_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(-z_{2})\mathbb{E}[\xi(\mathrm{d}z_{1})\xi(\mathrm{d}z_{2})] = \int \mathcal{K}_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(-z_{1})^{2} \, \mathrm{d}z_{1}$$
$$E(\checkmark) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int \mathcal{K}_{\rho}(-z)\mathcal{K}_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(z-z_{1})\mathcal{K}_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(z-z_{2})\xi(\mathrm{d}z_{1})\xi(\mathrm{d}z_{2}) \, \mathrm{d}z\right)^{2}\right]$$

Isserlis–Wick theorem: $\mathbb{E}[X_1 \dots X_{2m}] = \sum_{\text{pairings}} \prod \mathbb{E}[X_i X_j]$

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

Feynman diagrams

 $E(\overset{\checkmark}{\bigvee}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int K_{\rho}(-z)K_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(z-z_{1})K_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(z-z_{2})\xi(\mathrm{d}z_{1})\xi(\mathrm{d}z_{2})\,\mathrm{d}z\right)^{2}\right]$

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

Feynman diagrams

$$E(\overset{\checkmark}{\checkmark}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int K_{\rho}(-z)K_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(z-z_{1})K_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(z-z_{2})\xi(\mathrm{d}z_{1})\xi(\mathrm{d}z_{2})\,\mathrm{d}z\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$= 0 + 2\int K_{\rho}(-z)K_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(z-z_{1})K_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(\bar{z}-z_{1})K_{\rho}(-\bar{z})K_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(z-z_{2})K_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(\bar{z}-z_{2})\,\mathrm{d}z\,\mathrm{d}\bar{z}\,\mathrm{d}z_{1}\,\mathrm{d}z_{2}$$

Feynman diagrams

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{V}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int K_{\rho}(-z)K_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(z-z_{1})K_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(z-z_{2})\xi(\mathrm{d}z_{1})\xi(\mathrm{d}z_{2})\,\mathrm{d}z\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= 0 + 2\int K_{\rho}(-z)K_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(z-z_{1})K_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(\bar{z}-z_{1})K_{\rho}(-\bar{z})K_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(z-z_{2})K_{\rho}^{\varepsilon}(\bar{z}-z_{2})\,\mathrm{d}z\,\mathrm{d}\bar{z}\,\mathrm{d}z_{1}\,\mathrm{d}z_{2}$$

$$= 2 \cdot \mathbf{V}$$

Definition: Feynman (vacuum) diagram

Given by $\Gamma = (\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{E}, v^*)$ directed (multi)graph, v^* distinguished node, \mathfrak{L} finite set of types, a map $\mathfrak{t} : \mathscr{E} \to \mathfrak{L}, e \mapsto \mathfrak{t}(e)$, kernels $K_{\mathfrak{t}} : (\mathbb{R}^{d+1})^* \to \mathbb{R}$

$$E(\Gamma) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})^{\mathscr{V}\setminus v^*}} \prod_{e\in\mathscr{E}} K_{t(e)}(z_{e_+} - z_{e_-}) dz \qquad e = (e_-, e_+), \ z_{v^*} = 0$$

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

Simplification of Feynman diagrams

 v^* can be moved, and vertices of degree 2 can be integrated out:

Simplification of Feynman diagrams

 v^* can be moved, and vertices of degree 2 can be integrated out:



Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

March 8, 2021

12/16

Degree of Feynman diagrams

Define

$$\mathsf{deg}(\mathsf{\Gamma}) = (\rho + d)(|\mathscr{V}| - 1) + \sum_{e \in \mathscr{E}} \mathsf{deg}(\mathfrak{t}(e))$$

where

 $deg(\longrightarrow) = deg(\longrightarrow) = -d$ $deg(\longrightarrow) = deg(\longrightarrow) = \rho - d \qquad deg(\neg \longrightarrow) = 2\rho - d$

Then for any pairing P, one has $deg(\Gamma(\tau, P)) = |\tau|_{\mathfrak{s}}|_{\kappa=0}$

Degree of Feynman diagrams

Define

$$\mathsf{deg}(\mathsf{\Gamma}) = (\rho + d)(|\mathscr{V}| - 1) + \sum_{e \in \mathscr{E}} \mathsf{deg}(\mathfrak{t}(e))$$

where

 $deg(\longrightarrow) = deg(\longrightarrow) = -d$ $deg(\longrightarrow) = deg(\longrightarrow) = \rho - d \qquad deg(\neg \neg \neg \rightarrow) = 2\rho - d$

Then for any pairing *P*, one has $deg(\Gamma(\tau, P)) = |\tau|_{\mathfrak{s}}|_{\kappa=0}$

Simple examples suggest that
$$|E(\Gamma)| \asymp \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{\deg \Gamma} & \text{if } \deg \Gamma < 0\\ \log(\varepsilon^{-1}) & \text{if } \deg \Gamma = 0\\ 1 & \text{if } \deg \Gamma > 0 \end{cases}$$

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

Degree of Feynman diagrams

Define

$$\mathsf{deg}(\mathsf{\Gamma}) = (\rho + d)(|\mathscr{V}| - 1) + \sum_{e \in \mathscr{E}} \mathsf{deg}(\mathfrak{t}(e))$$

where

 $deg(\longrightarrow) = deg(\longrightarrow) = -d$ $deg(\longrightarrow) = deg(\longrightarrow) = \rho - d \qquad deg(\neg \neg \neg \rightarrow) = 2\rho - d$

Then for any pairing *P*, one has $deg(\Gamma(\tau, P)) = |\tau|_{\mathfrak{s}}|_{\kappa=0}$

Simple examples suggest that
$$|E(\Gamma)| \asymp \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{\deg \Gamma} & \text{if } \deg \Gamma < 0\\ \log(\varepsilon^{-1}) & \text{if } \deg \Gamma = 0\\ 1 & \text{if } \deg \Gamma > 0 \end{cases}$$

This is however not the case in general, because of subdivergences: there can be subgraphs $\gamma \subset \Gamma$ with deg $\gamma < \deg \Gamma \leqslant 0$

 $\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\$

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

Key estimate

Inductive def of twisted antipode: $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-}\Gamma = -\Gamma - \sum_{\gamma \subsetneq \Gamma: \deg \gamma < 0} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-}\gamma \cdot \underbrace{\Gamma/\gamma}_{\text{contractio}}$

Proposition: [B & Bruned '19] If τ has p leaves,

$$|E(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-}(\Gamma))| \leqslant \begin{cases} \mathcal{K}_{1}^{p}(p-3)! \, \varepsilon^{\operatorname{deg} \Gamma} \log(\varepsilon^{-1})^{\zeta} & \text{if } \operatorname{deg} \Gamma < 0 \\ \mathcal{K}_{1}^{p}(p-3)! \log(\varepsilon^{-1})^{1+\zeta} & \text{if } \operatorname{deg} \Gamma = 0 \end{cases}$$

where K_1 depends only on K_t and $\zeta \in \{0, 1\}$: # of $\gamma \subset \Gamma$ with deg $\gamma = 0$

Key estimate

Inductive def of twisted antipode: $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-}\Gamma = -\Gamma - \sum_{\gamma \subsetneq \Gamma: \deg \gamma < 0} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-}\gamma \cdot \underbrace{\Gamma/\gamma}_{\text{contraction}}$

Proposition: [B & Bruned '19] If τ has p leaves,

$$|E(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-}(\Gamma))| \leqslant \begin{cases} \mathcal{K}_{1}^{p}(p-3)! \, \varepsilon^{\deg \Gamma} \log(\varepsilon^{-1})^{\zeta} & \text{if } \deg \Gamma < 0\\ \mathcal{K}_{1}^{p}(p-3)! \log(\varepsilon^{-1})^{1+\zeta} & \text{if } \deg \Gamma = 0 \end{cases}$$

where K_1 depends only on K_t and $\zeta \in \{0,1\}$: # of $\gamma \subset \Gamma$ with deg $\gamma = 0$

Extracting subdivergences (cf. [Connes & Kreimer]):



Then $E(\Gamma) - E(\mathscr{C}_{\gamma}\Gamma)$ contains a factor

 $|\mathcal{K}_{
ho}(z_6-z_5)-\mathcal{K}_{
ho}(z_6-z_4)| \lesssim |(z_5-z_4)\cdot
abla \mathcal{K}_{
ho}(z_6-z_4)| \lesssim rac{\|z_5-z_4\|_{\mathfrak{s}}}{\|z_6-z_4\|_{\mathfrak{s}}^d}$

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

March 8, 2021

14/16



 $\mathbf{T} = (T, \mathbf{n}): \ T \text{ binary tree, } |\mathscr{V}| \text{ leaves, } \mathbf{n} \text{ increasing node decoration}$ Hepp sector: $D_{\mathbf{T}} = \{z \in \Lambda^{|\mathscr{V}|}: \ C^{-1}2^{-\mathbf{n}_{i\wedge j}} \leqslant \|z_i - z_j\|_{\mathfrak{s}} \leqslant C2^{-\mathbf{n}_{i\wedge j}}\}$ where $i \wedge j$ last common ancestor in $T \Rightarrow \Lambda^{|\mathscr{V}|} \subset \bigcup_{\mathbf{T}} D_{\mathbf{T}}$
$$\begin{split} \mathbf{T} &= (\mathcal{T}, \mathbf{n}): \ \mathcal{T} \text{ binary tree, } |\mathscr{V}| \text{ leaves, } \mathbf{n} \text{ increasing node decoration} \\ \text{Hepp sector: } D_{\mathbf{T}} &= \{z \in \Lambda^{|\mathscr{V}|}: \ \mathcal{C}^{-1}2^{-\mathbf{n}_{i\wedge j}} \leqslant \|z_i - z_j\|_{\mathfrak{s}} \leqslant \mathcal{C}2^{-\mathbf{n}_{i\wedge j}} \} \\ \text{where } i \wedge j \text{ last common ancestor in } \mathcal{T} &\Rightarrow \quad \Lambda^{|\mathscr{V}|} \subset \bigcup_{\mathbf{T}} D_{\mathbf{T}} \end{split}$$

Zimmermann's forest formula:

$$ilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-} \mathsf{\Gamma} = -\sum_{\mathsf{forests} \ \mathscr{F}} (-1)^{|\mathscr{F}|} \mathscr{C}_{\mathscr{F}} \mathsf{\Gamma}$$



 $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-} \Gamma = -\sum_{\mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{s}}} \prod_{\mathsf{safe}} (-\mathscr{C}_{\gamma}) \prod_{\bar{\gamma} \text{ unsafe for } \mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{s}}} (\mathsf{id} - \mathscr{C}_{\bar{\gamma}}) \Gamma$

 $\bar{\gamma}$ is unsafe for T if it is small and far from its parents

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

General formula for the counterterms

Theorem: [Bruned, Hairer, Zambotti; Bruned, Chandra, Chevyrev, Hairer '19] Counterterms given by

$$C(\varepsilon,\rho,u) = \sum_{\tau\in T: |\tau|_{\mathfrak{s}}<0} E(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-}(\tau)) \frac{\Upsilon^{r}(\tau)(u)}{S(\tau)}$$

 $\triangleright \ ilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-}(au)$ twisted antipode acting on trees

 $\succ \Upsilon^{F}(\tau)(u)$ given by inductive relation with $\Upsilon^{F}(\Xi)(u) = 1$; here

$$\Upsilon^{F}(\tau)(u) = \begin{cases} 2^{n_{\text{inner}}(\tau)} & \text{if } \tau \text{ full} \\ 2^{n_{\text{inner}}(\tau)}u & \text{if } \tau \text{ almost full without } X_{i} \end{cases}$$

where $n_{\text{inner}}(\tau)$ # of nodes of τ that are not leaves

▷ $S(\tau)$ symmetry factor; here $S(\tau) = 2^{n_{sym}(\tau)}$ where $n_{sym}(\tau)$ # of inner nodes with 2 identical lines of offspring, e.g.

$$S(\checkmark) = S(\checkmark) = 2$$
 $S(\checkmark) = 2^3$ $S(\checkmark) = 2^7$

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

March 8, 2021

16/16

Thanks for your attention



arXiv/1907.13028

www.idpoisson.fr/berglund/CIRM21.pdf

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

Main result (precise version)

Theorem: [B & Bruned, arXiv/1907.13028] $\exists M > 0$ s.t. counterterm $C_0(\varepsilon, \rho) + C_1(\varepsilon, \rho)u$ satisfies $\left|C_{0}(\varepsilon,\rho)\right| \leq M\varepsilon_{c}^{-(d-\rho)}\left[\log(\varepsilon^{-1}) + \frac{1}{\rho-\rho_{c}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{c}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{3(\rho-\rho_{c})}\right]$ $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon_c$ $\left|\frac{C_{0}(\varepsilon,\rho)}{A_{0}\varepsilon^{-(d-\rho)}}-1\right|\leqslant \frac{M}{\rho-\rho_{c}}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_{c}}\right)^{3(\rho-\rho_{c})}$ $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_c$ $\left|C_{1}(\varepsilon,\rho)\right| \leq M\bar{\varepsilon}_{c}^{-(d-2\rho)} \left[\log(\varepsilon^{-1}) + \frac{1}{\rho-\rho_{c}} \left(\frac{\bar{\varepsilon}_{c}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{3(\rho-\rho_{c})}\right]$ $\varepsilon \geq \overline{\varepsilon}_c$ $\left|\frac{C_0(\varepsilon,\rho)}{\overline{\Lambda}_c c^{-(d-2\rho)}} - 1\right| \leq \frac{M}{\rho-\rho_c} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\overline{\varepsilon}_c}\right)^{3(\rho-\rho_c)}$ $\varepsilon < \bar{\varepsilon}_{c}$ $\varepsilon_{\rm c} = f(k_{\rm max}) \ \bar{\varepsilon}_{\rm c} = f(\bar{k}_{\rm max})$ $\varepsilon_{\rm c}(\rho)$ $f(k) = \exp\left\{-\frac{\log k + a - \frac{\log k}{2k}}{\rho - \rho_c}\right\}$ $C_0 \simeq \log(arepsilon^{-1})$ $C_1 \simeq \log(arepsilon^{-1})$ $C_0 \simeq \varepsilon^{-(d-\rho)}$ $k_{\max} = \frac{d-\rho}{3(\rho-\rho_2)}$ $\bar{k}_{\max} = \frac{d-2\rho}{3(\rho-\rho_2)}$ $\bar{\varepsilon}_{\rm c}(\rho)$ $A_0 = -\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{d-\rho} E(\mathbf{V})$ $\underline{C_1} \simeq \varepsilon^{-(d-2\rho)}$ $\bar{A}_0 = -4 \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{d-2\rho} E(\checkmark)$

Renormalisation when approaching the subcriticality threshold

Main estimate

$$|E(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-}(\tau))| \leq \sum_{P} \sum_{T} \sum_{\mathcal{F}_{s}} \sum_{n} \int_{D_{T,n}} \prod_{e \in \mathscr{E}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{-}\Gamma(\tau,P))} |K_{\mathfrak{t}(e)}(z_{e_{+}}-z_{e_{-}})| dz$$

Proposition: [B & Bruned '19]

 $\sum_{\mathbf{n}} \sup_{z \in D_{\mathsf{T}}} \prod_{e} |K_{\mathsf{t}(e)}(\dots)| \operatorname{Vol}(D_{\mathsf{T}}) \leqslant \begin{cases} K_{1}^{|\mathscr{E}|} \varepsilon^{\deg \mathsf{\Gamma}} \log(\varepsilon^{-1})^{\zeta} & \text{if } \deg \mathsf{\Gamma} < 0\\ K_{1}^{|\mathscr{E}|} \log(\varepsilon^{-1})^{1+\zeta} & \text{if } \deg \mathsf{\Gamma} = 0 \end{cases}$ where K_{1} depends only on K_{t} and $\zeta \in \{0, 1\} \ \# \text{ of } \gamma \subset \mathsf{\Gamma}$ with $\deg \gamma = 0$

For τ complete with 2k + 2 leaves, $k \leq k_{\max} = \frac{d-\rho}{3(\rho-\rho_c)}$:

- ▷ # of pairings $P = (2k+1)!! = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (2i+1)$
- ▷ # of Hepp trees $T \leq (2k-1)!$
- ▷ # of safe forests $\mathscr{F}_{\rm s} \leq 2^k$
- ▷ % of pairings yielding $\zeta = 1$ bdd by $2^{-(2k-k_{max})}$