# Convergence rates for the occupation measure of fractional Brownian motion

#### Dario Trevisan

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PISA

Pathwise Stochastic Analysis and Applications

CIRM, March 8-12 2021

Joint with F. Mattesini and M. Huesmann (U. Muenster)

## Motivation

The empirical occupation measure of a Brownian path

$$\int f d\mu_T = \int_0^T f(B_t) dt$$

is quite common, for example

■ in regularization by noise (Gubinelli, Catellier...): a key tool is that

$$x\mapsto \int_0^T f(x+B_t)dt$$

is more regular than *f* itself (small *T*'s here are relevant).

in the study of covering times (Aldous, Dembo-Peres-Rosen-Zeitouni): how large should T be so that

 $\operatorname{supp}(\mu_T)$  is  $\varepsilon$ -close to every point

```
(considering a Brownian motion on the torus \mathbb{T}^d)
```

Question: what happens if we consider a fractional Brownian motion?





# Simulations: H=0.9, T=10



As a variant of the covering time problem we can measure an average distance using the Kantorovich-Wasserstein optimal transport distance:

$$W_1(\mu_T, \mathcal{TL}^d_{\mathbb{T}^d}) = \inf_{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d imes \mathbb{T}^d} \operatorname{dist}_{\mathbb{T}^d}(x, y) d\pi(x, y),$$

where  $\pi$  are joint measures such that the marginals are respectively  $\mu_{T}$  and  $\mathcal{TL}_{\pi d}^{\mathcal{A}}.$ 

The dual formulation reads

$$W_1(\mu_T, T\mathcal{L}^d_{\mathbb{T}^d}) = \sup_{\text{Lip}(f) \leq 1} \left\{ \int_0^T f(B_s) ds - T \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} f(y) dy \right\},$$

i.e., we measure how close Lipschitz functions are to constants after averaging w.r.t. the occupation measure.

#### Problem

Estimate  $W_1(\mu_T, T\mathcal{L}^d_{\mathbb{T}^d})$  as  $T \to \infty$ .

Another motivation is to study a variant of the random Euclidean bipartite matching problem, that reads: let *n* be i.i.d. uniformly distributed points  $(X_i)_{i=1}^n$  on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  and

replace 
$$\mu_T = \int_0^T \delta_{B_t} dt$$
 with the empirical measure  $\nu_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{X_i}$ 

Intuition: the typical distance is  $n^{-\frac{1}{d}}$  (as in a regular grid). But there are fluctuations (CLT)!

The asymptotic behaviour of  $W_1(\nu_n, n\mathcal{L}^d_{\mathbb{T}^d})$  depends on the dimension *d*:

$$W_1(\nu_n, n\mathcal{L}^d_{\mathbb{T}^d}) \sim \begin{cases} n \cdot n^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{for } d = 1\\ n \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}} & \text{for } d = 2\\ n \cdot n^{-\frac{1}{d}} & \text{for } d \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

An open problem is to prove that the limit (after rescaling) exists for d = 2.

F.Y. Wang and J-X. Zhu (2019) consider diffusion processes on Riemannian Manifolds (thus including the case H = 1/2 on the torus).

Their main result is (actually for the  $W_2$  distance)

$$W_{1}(\mu_{T}, T\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T}^{d}}^{d}) \sim \begin{cases} T \cdot T^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{for } d \leq 3\\ T \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\log T}{T}} & \text{for } d = 4\\ T \cdot T^{-\frac{1}{d-2}} & \text{for } d \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

Intuition:

- supp  $\mu_T$  is (roughly) 2-dimensional
- put  $n^{d-2}$  horizontal planes in  $\mathbb{T}^d$  gives a distance of order  $\frac{1}{n}$
- each plane has measure (area) 1, thus  $n^{d-2} = T$
- typical distance is  $\sim T^{-\frac{1}{d-2}}$ ,

But again there are fluctuations!

For  $H \in (0, 1)$  a fBM with Hurst index H on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  is constructed as d independent real fBM  $(B_t^1, B_t^2, \dots, B_t^d)_{t>0}$  projected on  $\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$ .

### Theorem (Huesmann, Mattesini, T.)

Let  $H \in (0, 1)$ , consider a fBM with Hurst index H on  $\mathbb{T}^d$  with empirical measure  $\mu_T$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[W_{1}(\mu_{T}, T\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T}^{d}}^{d})\right] \sim \begin{cases} T \cdot T^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{for } d < \frac{1}{H} + 2\\ T \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\log T}{T}} & \text{for } d = \frac{1}{H} + 2\\ T \cdot T^{-\frac{1}{d-1/H}} & \text{for } d > \frac{1}{H} + 2. \end{cases}$$

Notice that (formally)  $H = \infty$  gives back the bipartite matching problem!

Comparison with the random bipartite matching problems leads to the following questions (that we have not addressed).

**1** Limits. For  $d < \frac{1}{H} + 2$  it should be not difficult to prove that

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[ W_1(\mu_T, T\mathcal{L}^d_{\mathbb{T}^d}) \right] / \sqrt{T} \quad \text{exists.}$$

If  $d > \frac{1}{H} + 2$  it may be feasible.

For  $d = \frac{1}{H} + 2$  it should be challenging (open also for the matching problem).

- **2** Rates for  $W_p$  instead of  $W_1$ . In fact our proof covers  $p \in [1, 4]$  (with same rate). Higher *p*'s require more computational effort or better ideas? The case  $p = \infty$  is related to covering times. The case  $p \in (0, 1)$  may also be interesting.
- **3** Case H = 1. Pick a random direction (with random velocity) and follow the geodesics. The case d = 3 is open (not clear if the logarithm must be there)

We follow an established route for the bipartite matching problem – first proposed by S. Caracciolo and collaborators.

We combine tools from analysis and probability:

- 1 Optimal Transport Theory and PDE's
- 2 Fourier analysis
- Gaussian processes

The main novelty are (fourth order) moment bounds on the Fourier transform of the occupation measure

$$\hat{\mu}_T(m) = \int_0^T \exp\left(2\pi i m \cdot B_s\right) ds.$$

The Wasserstein distance between two measures  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  on the line  $\mathbb{R}$  can be computed via cumulative distribution functions (Dall'Aglio):

$$W_1(\mu,\nu) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |F_{\mu}(t) - F_{\nu}(t)| dt.$$

Are there similar expressions (or at least bounds) for d > 1?

#### Lemma

Given two measures (with smooth densities)  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ , one has the upper bound

$$W_1(\mu,
u) \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |
abla \Delta^{-1}(\mu-
u)|$$

and the lower bound

$$W_1(\mu,
u) \geq \sup_{M>0} \left\{ rac{1}{M} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left| 
abla \Delta^{-1}(\mu-
u) 
ight|^2 - rac{C}{M^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left| 
abla \Delta^{-1}(\mu-
u) 
ight|^4 
ight\},$$

where C > 0 is a constant depending on d only.

Problem: we cannot apply the bounds to a singular measure, e.g.  $\mu = \mu_T$ , they may produce diverging quantities.

We introduce a smoothing operator  $\rightarrow$  the heat semigroup  $P_{\varepsilon}\mu$ . Key inequalities

$$W_1(\mu, P_{\varepsilon}\mu) \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}\mu(\mathbb{T}^d), \text{ with } C = C(d),$$

and

$$W_1(\mu, \nu) \geq W_1(P_{\varepsilon}\mu, P_{\varepsilon}\nu).$$

#### Lemma

Upper bound:

$$W_1(\mu_T, T\mathcal{L}^d_{\mathbb{T}^d}) \leq \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} C\sqrt{\varepsilon}T + \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla \Delta^{-1} P_{\varepsilon}(\mu_T - T)|$$

and lower bound:

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{W}_1(\mu_{\mathcal{T}},\mathcal{TL}^d_{\mathbb{T}^d}) \ & \geq \sup_{M,arepsilon>0} \left\{ rac{1}{M} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |
abla \Delta^{-1} \mathcal{P}_arepsilon(\mu_{\mathcal{T}}-\mathcal{T})|^2 - rac{C}{M^3} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |
abla \Delta^{-1} \mathcal{P}_arepsilon(\mu_{\mathcal{T}}-\mathcal{T})|^4 
ight\}, \end{aligned}$$

where C > 0 is a constant depending on d only.

## Some Fourier Analysis

To conveniently bound the terms  $\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla \Delta^{-1} P_{\varepsilon}(\mu - \nu)|^q$  we use Fourier series. We use the isometry

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |f|^2 = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{f}(m)|^2$$

as well as

$$\int |f|^4 = \sum_{m_1+m_2+m_3+m_4=0} \hat{f}(m_1)\hat{f}(m_2)\hat{f}(m_3)\hat{f}(m_4).$$

We obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla \Delta^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_{\varepsilon}(\mu_T - 1)|^2 = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \boldsymbol{e}^{-\varepsilon |m|^2} \frac{|\hat{\mu}(m)|^2}{|m|^2}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} |\nabla \Delta^{-1} P_{\varepsilon}(\mu - 1)|^{4} = \sum_{\substack{m_{1} + m_{2} + m_{3} + m_{4} = 0 \\ m_{i} \neq 0}} \prod_{i=1}^{4} \frac{m_{i}}{|m_{i}|^{2}} e^{-\varepsilon |m_{i}|^{2}} \hat{\mu}(m_{i})$$

So far everything was valid for a general path in  $\mathbb{T}^d$ . Probability enters when we take expectations. We have

$$|\hat{\mu}_T(m)|^2 = \int_0^T \int_0^T \exp(2\pi i m (B_{s_2} - B_{s_1})) ds_1 ds_2$$

and

$$\hat{\mu}_{T}(m_{1})\hat{\mu}_{T}(m_{2})\hat{\mu}_{T}(m_{3})\hat{\mu}_{T}(m_{4}) = \\ = \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{T} \exp\left(2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{4} m_{j} B_{s_{j}}\right) ds_{1} ds_{2} ds_{3} ds_{4}$$

Exchanging expectations and integrals we find explicit formulas, e.g., for the second moment

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(2\pi i m (B_{s_2} - B_{s_1})\right)
ight] = \exp\left(-2\pi^2 |m|^2 |s_2 - s_1|^{2H}
ight).$$

# Upper bound

We find

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\hat{\mu}_{T}(m)\right|^{2}\right] = \int_{0}^{T}\int_{0}^{T}\exp\left(-2\pi^{2}|m|^{2}|s_{2}-s_{1}|^{2H}\right)ds_{1}ds_{2} \sim \frac{T}{|m|^{\frac{1}{H}}}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left|\nabla\Delta^{-1} P_{\varepsilon}(\mu_T-1)\right|^2\right] &\lesssim \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} e^{-\varepsilon |m|^2} \frac{T}{|m|^{2+1/H}} \\ &\lesssim T \cdot \begin{cases} \text{for } d < \frac{1}{H} + 2 \\ |\log(\varepsilon)| & \text{for } d = \frac{1}{H} + 2 \\ 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}^{d-2-1/H} & \text{for } d > \frac{1}{H} + 2. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Taking expectation in the upper bound

$$\mathbb{E}\left[W_{1}(\mu_{T}, T\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T}^{d}}^{d})\right] \leq \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} C\sqrt{\varepsilon}T + \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} |\nabla\Delta^{-1}P_{\varepsilon}(\mu_{T} - T)|^{2}\right]^{1/2}$$

We conclude choosing

$$\sqrt{\varepsilon} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } d < \frac{1}{H} + 2\\ T^{-1/2} & \text{for } d = \frac{1}{H} + 2\\ T^{-\frac{1}{2-1/H}} & \text{for } d > \frac{1}{H} + 2. \end{cases}$$

## Lower bound

Taking expectation in the lower bound we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[W_{1}(\mu_{T}, T\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T}^{d}}^{d})\right]$$

$$\geq \sup_{M,\varepsilon>0}\left\{\frac{1}{M}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}}|\nabla\Delta^{-1}P_{\varepsilon}(\mu_{T}-T)|^{2}\right]-\frac{C}{M^{3}}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}}|\nabla\Delta^{-1}P_{\varepsilon}(\mu_{T}-T)|^{4}\right]\right\},$$

Assume that for some constant C > 0, we have a reverse Hölder inequality

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla \Delta^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_\varepsilon(\mu_{\mathcal{T}} - \mathcal{T})|^4\right] \leq C \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla \Delta^{-1} \boldsymbol{P}_\varepsilon(\mu_{\mathcal{T}} - \mathcal{T})|^2\right]^2$$

then choosing

$$M = \mathcal{K}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |
abla \Delta^{-1} \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(\mu_{\mathcal{T}} - \mathcal{T})|^2
ight]^{1/2}$$

for some constant K > 0 (large but fixed) we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[W_1(\mu_T, \mathcal{TL}^d_{\mathbb{T}^d})\right] \geq \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla \Delta^{-1} \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon}(\mu_T - \mathcal{T})|^2\right]^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{K} - \frac{\mathcal{C}}{K^3}\right).$$

We conclude e.g. if  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(T)$  is chosen as in the upper bound.

To prove

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left|\nabla\Delta^{-1} P_\varepsilon(\mu_T - T)\right|^4\right] \leq C \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left|\nabla\Delta^{-1} P_\varepsilon(\mu_T - T)\right|^2\right]^2$$

we use lower bounds on the spectrum of the 3  $\times$  3 covariance matrix for increments of fBM.

Cases:

**1** H = 1/2: the matrix is diagonal

2 H < 1/2: diagonally dominant

**3** H > 1/2: we use represent fBM as stochastic integral. Bounds look like

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{4} \hat{\mu}_{T}(m_{i})\right] \lesssim T \sum_{\{i,j,k\} \subseteq \{1,2,3,4\}} (|m_{i}||m_{i}+m_{j}||m_{i}+m_{j}+m_{k}|)^{-1/H}$$

and then we use Young convolution inequality to bound the resulting series. Is there a simpler proof?

Also F.Y. Wang needs to estimate higher order moments: his proof uses Markov property and heat kernel estimates (still very demanding).

# A possible strategy via Itô trick

For H = 1/2 (and assuming stationarity) we can use the Itô trick to estimate

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_{0}^{T}\nabla\Delta^{-1}P_{\varepsilon}(\delta_{0}-1)(B_{s})\right|^{p}\right]$$

Write Itô formula

$$f(B_T)-f(B_0)-\int_0^T \nabla f(B_s)dB_s=\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \Delta f(B_s)ds.$$

Set  $g = \Delta f$  (g must have zero integral on  $\mathbb{T}^d$ ) so that

$$\int g \,\mathrm{d}\mu_{\mathcal{T}} = 2\left(\Delta^{-1}g(B_{\mathcal{T}}) - \Delta^{-1}g(B_0) - \int_0^{\mathcal{T}} \nabla\Delta^{-1}g(B_s)dB_s\right).$$

Take  $p = 2^k$ , use BDG inequality

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int g\,\mathsf{d}\mu_{\mathcal{T}}
ight|^{p}
ight]\lesssim\mathbb{E}\left[|\Delta^{-1}g(\mathcal{B}_{0})|^{p}
ight]+\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int_{0}^{\mathcal{T}}\left|
abla\Delta^{-1}g
ight|^{2}(\mathcal{B}_{s})ds
ight|^{p/2}
ight],$$

and iterate (but each time subtract the spatial average). For p = 2 it gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int g\,\mathsf{d}\mu_{\mathcal{T}}\right|^{2}\right]\lesssim\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\Delta^{-1}g(B_{0})\right|^{2}\right]+\mathcal{T}\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\nabla\Delta^{-1}g\right|^{2}(B_{0})\right].$$

We proved upper and lower bounds for the W<sub>1</sub> distance between a fractional Brownian path and the uniform measure (on T<sup>d</sup>).
 A transition between different rates occurs at

$$d=2+\frac{1}{H}.$$

2 Many open problems, e.g., existence of limits (possibly for  $W_2$  instead)

Connections with regularization by noise or covering times should be better investigated.