

On transport type rough fluid equations

James-Michael Leahy

Department of Mathematics at Imperial College London
and Applied Mathematics at University of Twente

Joint with Dan Crisan, Darryl Holm, and Torstein Nilssen

March 11th, 2021

CIRM: Pathwise Stochastic Analysis and Applications

Introduction and overview

- Rough path theory offers an attractive framework to model the effects of computationally unresolvable fluctuations on the resolvable parts of fluid flows. [Palmer et al., 2009].
- In [Leahy et al., 2020] we formulated geometric fluid dynamics on rough diffeomorphisms and characterized solutions of rough fluid PDEs as critical points of constrained action functionals.
- In [Leahy et al., 2021], we establish local well-posedness and a blow-up criterion for perfect incompressible fluids on geometric rough paths within the framework of unbounded rough drivers [Bailleul and Gubinelli, 2017].

Hamilton's principle: The Euler-Lagrange equations

- Let Q be a manifold and $L \in C^1(TQ, \mathbb{R})$.
- For given $q_1, q_2 \in Q$ and an interval $[t_1, t_2]$, define

$$C(q_1, q_2, [t_1, t_2]) = \{q \in C^2([t_1, t_2]; Q) : q(t_1) = q_1, q(t_2) = q_2\}.$$

Theorem

A curve $q \in C(q_1, q_2, [t_1, t_2])$ is a critical point of

$$S(q) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(q(t), \dot{q}(t)) dt$$

if and only if

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}(q, \dot{q}) \right] = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q}(q, \dot{q}).$$

Hamilton-Pontryagin principle

- Let (q, v, p) be the local coordinates for the bundle $E := TQ \oplus T^*Q$.
- $C_E(q_1, q_2, [t_1, t_2]) := \{(q, v, p) \in C^1([t_1, t_2]; E) : q(t_1) = q_1, q(t_2) = q_2\}$.

Theorem ([Yoshimura and Marsden, 2006])

A curve $(q, v, p) \in C_E(q_1, q_2, [t_1, t_2])$ is a critical point of

$$S(q, v, p) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (L(q(t), u(t)) + p(t) \cdot (\dot{q}(t) - v(t))) dt$$

if and only if

$$\dot{q} = v, \quad \dot{p} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q}, \quad p = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q}.$$

Lie group setting

- Let $Q = G$ be a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G \cong \mathfrak{X}_R(G)$.
- Assume that the Lagrangian $L \in C^1(TG; \mathbb{R})$ is right-invariant so that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(q(t), \dot{q}(t)) dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \ell(u(t)) dt, \quad u := TR_{q^{-1}} \dot{q} = \dot{q} q^{-1} : [t_1, t_2] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g},$$

where $\ell : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\ell(u) := L(e, u)$, $u \in \mathfrak{g}$.

- Assume the functional derivative $\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ defined by

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} \ell(u + \epsilon \delta u) = \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}(u), \delta u \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \forall \delta u \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

is a diffeomorphism.

- Recall that $\text{ad} = T_e \text{Ad} = T_e TL_g \circ TR_{g^{-1}} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by

$$\text{ad}_\xi u = -[u, \xi], \quad \forall \xi, u \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

- Let $\text{ad}^* : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ denote its dual relative to the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Euler-Poincaré reduction

- Let (q, u, λ) be the local coordinates for the bundle $E := G \times \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*$.
- $C_E(q_1, q_2, [t_1, t_2]) := \{(q, u, \lambda) \in C^1([t_1, t_2]; E) : q(t_1) = q_1, q(t_2) = q_2\}$.

Theorem ([Yoshimura and Marsden, 2007])

The following are equivalent for a curve $q \in C(q_1, q_2, [t_1, t_2])$:

- q is a critical point of $S(q) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(q(t), \dot{q}(t)) dt$.
- q satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equations.
- $(q, u = \dot{q}q^{-1}, \lambda = \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}) \in C_E(q_1, q_2, [t_1, t_2])$ is a critical point of

$$S(q, u, \lambda) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \ell(u(t)) + \langle \lambda(t), \dot{q}(t)q^{-1}(t) - u(t) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

- $u = \dot{q}q^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}$ satisfies the Euler-Poincaré equations [Holm et al., 1998]

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} + \text{ad}_u^* \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} = 0.$$

Topological ideal hydrodynamics [Arnold, 1966]

- Let $G = \text{Diff}_{\mu_g}^s(M)$, $s > \frac{d}{2} + 1$, be the topological group of Sobolev volume-preserving diffeomorphisms on (M, g) .
- $\mathfrak{g} := T_e G \cong \mathfrak{X}_{\mu_g}^s(M)$ is isomorphic to the space of divergence-free Sobolev vector fields.
- Define for $q \in C(q_1, q_2, [0, T])$ with $u = \dot{q}q^{-1} : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\mu_g}^s(M)$:

$$S(q) = \int_0^T \int_M g_{q_t}(\dot{q}_t, \dot{q}_t) \mu_g dt = \int_0^T \int_M |u|^2 \mu_g dt.$$

- There exists a smooth geodesic spray [Ebin and Marsden, 1970]:

$$\nabla_{\dot{q}} \dot{q} = -\nabla p \circ q, \quad \text{Euler-Lagrange equations,}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \partial_t u + \nabla_u u = -\nabla p, \quad \text{Euler-Poincare equations,}$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on $\text{Diff}^s(M)$ induced by the 'weak' energy metric.

Co-adjoint operator on diffeomorphism group

- Until further specified, all quantities are smooth.
- Let $G = \text{Diff}(M)$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{X}(M) = \mathfrak{X}$.
- The canonical dual is given by $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{X}^\vee = \Omega^1 \otimes \Omega^d$ with pairing

$$\langle \alpha \otimes \mu, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} = \int_M \alpha(u) \mu, \quad \alpha \otimes \mu \in \mathfrak{X}^\vee, \quad u \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

- Similarly, we can take volume-preserving $G = \text{Diff}_{\mu_g}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{X}_{\mu_g}$ with the corresponding $\mathfrak{X}_{\mu_g}^\vee$ using the Hodge decomposition.
- We have $\text{ad}_u v = -[u, v] = -\mathcal{L}_u v$ for all $u, v \in \mathfrak{X}$.
- Integrating by parts, we find [Holm et al., 1998]

$$\langle \alpha \otimes \mu, \text{ad}_u v \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} = \langle \mathcal{L}_u(\alpha \otimes \mu), v \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}},$$

and thus the co-adjoint operator is the Lie derivative

$$\text{ad}_u^* = \mathcal{L}_u : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}^*).$$

Advection quantities and the Lagrangian

- Let \mathfrak{A} be a summand of tensor field bundles.
- Paths in \mathfrak{A} are advected quantities such as temperature and density.
- Denote the corresponding duality pairing by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}} : \mathfrak{A}^{\vee} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- Define the momentum map $\diamond : \mathfrak{A}^{\vee} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{\vee}$ [Holm et al., 1998]:

$$\langle b, \mathcal{L}_u a \rangle_{\mathfrak{A}} = -\langle b \diamond a, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} \quad \forall a \in \mathfrak{A}, b \in \mathfrak{A}^{\vee}, u \in \mathfrak{X}.$$

- Let $\ell : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the fluid Lagrangian, which is physically determined.
- Assume that $\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^{\vee}$ and $\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a} : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}^{\vee}$ are continuous:

$$\left. \frac{d}{d\epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} \ell(u + \epsilon \delta u, a + \epsilon \delta a) = \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}(u, a), \delta u \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} + \left\langle \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a}(u, a), \delta a \right\rangle_{\mathfrak{A}}$$

Geometric rough flows

- Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$, and $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathcal{C}_g^\alpha(\mathbb{R}_+; \mathbb{R}^K)$ be an α truly rough geometric rough path.
- Let $\xi = (\xi_k)_{k=1}^K \in \mathfrak{X}^K$ be a collection of smooth vector fields.
- Let $\text{Diff}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ denote the space of rough flows $\{\eta_t\} \subset \text{Diff}$:

$$d\eta_t = v_t \circ \eta_t dt + \sigma_t \circ \eta_t d\mathbf{Z}_t, \quad \eta_0 = \text{id},$$

for arbitrarily given $(v, \sigma) \in C_T^\alpha(\mathfrak{X}) \times C_T^\infty(\mathfrak{X}^K)$.

- For a given controlled rough path $\lambda \in \mathfrak{D}_{Z,T}(\mathfrak{X}^\vee)$, define

$$\int_0^T \langle \lambda_t, d\eta_t \eta_t^{-1} \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} := \int_0^T \langle \lambda_t, v_t \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} dt + \int_0^T \langle \lambda_t, \sigma_t \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} d\mathbf{Z}_t.$$

H-P variational principle on geometric rough paths

Theorem

A curve (η, u, λ) is a critical point of

$$S^{HPZ}(\eta, u, \lambda) = \int_0^T \ell(u_t, \eta_t \star a_0) dt + \langle \lambda_t, d\eta_t \eta_t^{-1} - u_t dt - \xi dZ_t \rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}.$$

iff $(\eta, u, \lambda = \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}) \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbf{Z}} \times C_T^\alpha(\mathfrak{X}) \times \mathfrak{D}_{Z,T}(\mathfrak{X}^\vee)$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} d\eta_t &= u_t \circ \eta_t dt + \xi \circ \eta_t dZ_t, \\ d \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} + \mathcal{L}_{u_t} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} dt + \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} dZ_t &\stackrel{\mathfrak{X}^\vee}{=} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a} \diamond a_t dt. \end{aligned}$$

By the rough Lie chain rule, $a_t = \eta_t \star a_0$ satisfies

$$da + \mathcal{L}_{u_t} a dt + \mathcal{L}_{\xi} a dZ_t \stackrel{\mathfrak{A}}{=} 0.$$

Kelvin circulation theorem

The density $D \in C_T^\alpha(\Omega^d)$ is an advected quantity:

$$dD + \mathcal{L}_u D dt + \mathcal{L}_\xi D d\mathbf{Z}_t = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad D_t = \eta_{t*} D_0.$$

Theorem

Let Γ denote a compactly embedded one-dimensional smooth submanifold of M . If D_0 is non-vanishing, then

$$\int_{\eta_t \Gamma} \frac{1}{D_t} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}(u_t, a_t) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{D_0} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u}(u_0, a_0) + \int_0^t \int_{\eta_s \Gamma} \frac{1}{D_s} \frac{\delta \ell}{\delta a}(u_s, a_s) \diamond a_s ds.$$

Rough incompressible Euler system

- Let $\dot{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mu_g}$ denote the incompressible and ‘harmonic-free’ vector fields.
- Define the kinetic energy Lagrangian $\ell : \dot{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mu_g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\ell(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M g(u, u) \mu_g.$$

- Thus, $\frac{\delta \ell}{\delta u} = [u^b \otimes \mu_g] \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mu_g}^\vee$, which is an equivalence class.
- The corresponding equation for a critical point is given by:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{d}u^b + \mathcal{L}_u u^b dt + \mathcal{L}_\xi u^b d\mathbf{Z}_t = -\mathbf{d}(dq_t - 2^{-1}|u|^2 dt) - dh_t^b \\ \operatorname{div}_{\mu_g} u^b = 0, \quad H(u^b) = 0, \quad H(q) = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, \end{cases}$$

where \mathbf{d} denotes the exterior derivative, and the pressure term q and harmonic term h correspond to two constraints.

Vorticity formulation

Since the exterior derivative \mathbf{d} commutes with Lie derivatives, $\mathbf{d}^2 = 0$, and $\mathbf{d}h^b = 0$, we get that the vorticity $\omega = \mathbf{d}u^b \in \Omega_2$ is 'advected'

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{d}\omega + \mathcal{L}_u\omega dt + \mathcal{L}_\xi\omega d\mathbf{Z}_t = 0, \\ u = \sharp\mathbf{d}_g^*\Delta^{-1}\omega =: \text{BS}(\omega), \\ \omega|_{t=0} = \mathbf{d}u_0^b. \end{cases}$$

In particular, the dynamics of the vorticity preserve exactness and thus there are no Lagrange multipliers, which is exploited in our proof of well-posedness.

Rough incompressible fluid on the torus

- Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in [2, 3]$, and $\mathbf{Z} = (Z, \mathbb{Z}) \in \mathcal{C}_g^{p-\text{var}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^K)$
- Let $d \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$, $m \geq \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 2$, and $\xi \in W^{m+2, \infty}(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}^{d \times K})$.
- For $\nu \geq 0$, consider a system of rough PDEs on $\mathbb{T}^d \times [0, T]$ given by

$$\begin{cases} du + u \cdot \nabla u dt + (\xi_k \cdot \nabla u + (\nabla \xi_k)u) dZ_t^k = \nu \Delta u - \nabla dq_t - dh_t, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} u dV = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} q dV = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, \quad q|_{t=0} = 0, \quad h|_{t=0} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $u : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a divergence and mean-free vector field, $q : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a mean-free time-integrated pressure, and $h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a time-integrated harmonic constant.

- The case $\nu > 0$ was studied in [Leahy et al., 2020]. The $\nu = 0$ (i.e., Euler) is a new result.
- Henceforth, denote $L_k \phi = \xi_k \cdot \nabla \phi + (\nabla \xi_k) \phi$.

Solution via Davie's expansion

- Let \dot{P} denote the projection onto mean and divergence-free vector fields. Recall that $\dot{P} + Q + H = \text{id}$, where Q and H denote the gradient and harmonic projection, respectively.
- Let $\mathbf{Z}^n = (Z^n, \mathbb{Z}^n)$ be the canonical lift of a sequence of piecewise smooth paths converging to \mathbf{Z} in the rough path topology.
- For a given $u_0 \in W_\sigma^{m,2}$, there exists a maximal solution $u^n \in C([0, T_{\max}); \dot{W}_\sigma^{m,2})$ to the system (1) with \mathbf{Z} replaced by \mathbf{Z}^n .
- It follows that (c.f., [Bailleul and Gubinelli, 2017])

$$\begin{aligned} \delta u_{st}^n + \int_s^t \dot{P}[u_r^n \cdot \nabla u_r^n - \nu \Delta u_r^n] dr &= - \int_s^t \dot{P} L_k u_r^n dZ_r^{n,k} \\ &= -\dot{P} L_k u_s^n Z_{st}^{n,k} + \dot{P} L_k \dot{P} L_l u_s^n \mathbb{Z}_{st}^{n,lk} + u_{st}^{n,P,\#}, \end{aligned}$$

where $u_{st}^{n,P,\#} \in C_2^{\frac{p}{3}-\text{var}}([0, T]; \dot{W}_\sigma^{m-3,2})$.

Definition of solution of velocity equation

Definition

A path

$$u \in L_T^\infty \dot{W}_\sigma^{m,2} \cap C_T \dot{W}_\sigma^{m-3,2}$$

is said to be a $W^{m,2}$ -solution of (1) on $[0, T]$ if

$$u_{st}^{\dot{p}, \mathfrak{h}} := \delta u_{st} + \int_s^t \dot{P}[u_r \cdot \nabla u_r - \nu \Delta u_r] dr + \dot{P}L_k u_s Z_{st}^k - \dot{P}L_k \dot{P}L_l u_s Z_{st}^{lk}$$

satisfies $u_{st}^{\dot{p}, \mathfrak{h}} \in C_{2,T,\text{loc}}^{\frac{p}{3}-\text{var}} \dot{W}_\sigma^{m-3,2}$. We say u is a $W^{m,2}$ -solution of (1) on $[0, T)$ if u is a solution on the interval $[0, T - \epsilon]$ for all $\epsilon > 0$.

Reconstruction of pressure and harmonic constant

Proposition

If u is a $W^{m,2}$ -solution of (1) on $[0, T]$, then there exists unique paths $q \in C_T^{p\text{-var}} \dot{W}^{m-2,2}$ and $h \in C_T^{p\text{-var}} \mathbb{R}^d$ initiating from zero such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_{st}^{\natural} &:= \delta u_{st} + \int_s^t (u_r \cdot \nabla u_r - v \Delta u_r) dr + L_k u_s Z_{st}^k \\ &\quad - L_k L_l u_s Z_{st}^{lk} + \nabla \delta q_{st} + \delta h_{st} \end{aligned}$$

satisfies $u^{\natural} \in C_{2,T,\text{loc}}^{\frac{p}{3}\text{-var}} W^{m-3,2}$.

We construct q and h via the sewing lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla q_t &:= - \int_0^t Q u_r \cdot \nabla u_r dr - \int_0^t Q L_k u_r dZ_r^k \\ h_t &:= - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} (\nabla \xi_k) u_t dV dZ_r^k. \end{aligned}$$

Equivalent vorticity formulation

Let $\dot{W}_{\mathbf{d}}^{2,m}$ denote the L^2 -Sobolev space of m -times weakly differentiable functions taking values in the space of anti-symmetric matrices that are mean-free and have vanishing exterior derivative.

Proposition

If u is a $W^{m,2}$ -solution of (1) on the interval $[0, T]$, then

$$\Omega = \operatorname{curl} u = (\nabla - D)u \in L_T^\infty \dot{W}_{\mathbf{d}}^{m-1,2} \cap C_T \dot{W}_{\mathbf{d}}^{m-4,2}$$

$$\Omega^{\natural} = \operatorname{curl} u^{\dot{p}, \natural} \in C_{2,T,\text{loc}}^{\frac{p}{3}-\text{var}} \dot{W}_{\mathbf{d}}^{m-4,2}$$

satisfy with $\mathbf{L}_v \Phi = v \cdot \nabla \Phi + (\nabla v) \Phi + \Phi(Dv)$,

$$\Omega_{st}^{\natural} = \delta \omega_{st} + \int_s^t (\mathbf{L}_{u_r} \Omega_r - v \Delta \Omega_r) dr + \mathbf{L}_{\xi_k} \Omega_s Z_{st}^k - \mathbf{L}_{\xi_k} \mathbf{L}_{\xi_l} \Omega_s Z_{st}^{lk}, \quad (2)$$

Conversely, if there exists ω and ω^{\natural} such that (2) holds with $\omega_0 = \operatorname{curl} u_0$ and $u := \text{BS } \omega$, then u is a $W^{m,2}$ -solution of (1).

Existence and uniqueness

Theorem

There exists a constant $C = C(d, m, p, \|\xi\|_{W^{m+2,\infty}})$ such that for any time T_* satisfying

$$\exp(C(1 + \omega_Z(0, T_*))) T_* < \frac{1}{1 + \|u_0\|_{W^{m,2}}},$$

there exists a unique $W^{m,2}$ -solution

$$u \in C_{w, T_*} \dot{W}_\sigma^{m,2} \cap C_{T_*} \dot{W}_\sigma^{m-2} \cap C_{T_*}^{p\text{-var}} \dot{W}_\sigma^{m-1,2}.$$

of (1) on the interval $[0, T_*]$. If $\xi \in W^{m+4,\infty}$, then $u \in C_{T_*} \dot{W}_\sigma^{m,2}$.

Theorem

The above solution can be uniquely extended to a maximal time interval

$$u \in C_w([0, T_{\max}); \dot{W}_\sigma^{m,2}) \cap C([0, T_{\max}); \dot{W}_\sigma^{m-2}) \cap C^{p\text{-var}}([0, T_{\max}); \dot{W}_\sigma^{m-1,2}).$$

Moreover, if $T_{\max} < \infty$, then $\limsup_{t \uparrow T_{\max}} \|u_t\|_{W^{m,2}} = \infty$.

Theorem

Assume that $\{(u_0^n, v^n, \xi^n, \mathbf{Z}^n)\} \in \dot{W}_\sigma^{m,2} \times [0, \infty) \times W^{m+2,\infty} \times C_g^{p\text{-var}}$ converges to $\{(u_0, v, \xi, \mathbf{Z})\}$.

Let $\{(u^n, T_{\max}^n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and (u, T_{\max}) denote the maximal solutions corresponding to the data $\{(u_0^n, v^n, \xi^n, \mathbf{Z}^n)\}$ and $(u_0, v, \xi, \mathbf{Z})$, respectively.

Then there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$, $T_{\max}^n > T_{\max}$. Moreover, $\{u^n\}_{n=N}^\infty$ converges to u in $C([0, T_{\max}); \dot{W}_\sigma^{m-2})$ and the weak-star topology of $L^\infty([0, T_{\max}); \dot{W}_\sigma^{m,2})$.

In particular, if $v^n \rightarrow 0$, then the $W^{m,2}$ -Navier-Stokes solution u^{v^n} solutions tend to the Euler solution u .

Theorem

Let u denote the unique maximal $W^{m,2}$ -solution of (1). There exists a positive constants $C_1 = C_1(d, m)$ and $C_2 = C_2(p, d, m, \|\xi\|_{W^{m+2,\infty}})$ such that for all $t \in [0, T_{\max})$,

$$|u_t|_{W^{m,2}} \leq C_1(1 + |u_0|_{W^{m,2}}) \exp\left(C_2 \omega_Z(0, t) \exp\left(C_2 \int_0^t |\Omega_r|_{L^\infty} dr\right)\right).$$

Moreover, if $T_{\max} < \infty$, then $\int_0^{T_{\max}} |\Omega_t|_{L^\infty} dt = \infty$.

Corollary

Let u denote the unique maximal $W^{m,2}$ -solution of (1) and $\Omega = \operatorname{curl} u$. Then for all $t \in [0, T_{\max})$,

$$|\Omega_t|_{L^\infty} = |\Omega_0|_{L^\infty}, \text{ if } \nu = 0, \quad |\Omega_t|_{L^\infty} \leq |\Omega_0|_{L^\infty}, \text{ if } \nu > 0. \quad (3)$$

Thus, by the BKM blow-up criterion, there exists a unique $W^{m,2}$ -solution of (1) on $[0, \infty)$. Moreover, there exists positive constants $C_1 = C_1(m)$ and $C_2 = C_2(p, m, |\xi|_{W^{m+2,\infty}})$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,





$$|u_t|_{W^{m,2}} \leq C_1(1 + |u_0|_{W^{m,2}}) \exp(C_2 \omega_Z(0, t) \exp(C_2 t |\Omega_t|_{L^\infty})).$$





Various results such as Wong-Zakai approximation, Large deviation principle, and the existence of a random dynamical system are consequences.

- Obtain solution estimates using only the velocity formulation. The issue we face is the incompressibility constraint. In particular, changing the structure of the noise in the velocity in a zero-order way leads to difficulties due to the changed structure in the vorticity equation.
- Uniqueness in 2D for $\omega_0 \in L^\infty$. Existence seems possible, but we don't have enough regularity at the level of vorticity to take the L^2 -norm of the difference of two solutions. In the deterministic case, one proves uniqueness via the velocity formulation [Yudovich, 1963, Majda et al., 2002]. Perhaps one can use a rough flow approach like in the stochastic setting [Brzeźniak et al., 2016].

Future outlook for applications

- Develop numerical schemes for rough PDEs
- Calibrate ξ for a cross-validated set of Gaussian rough paths (e.g. FBM with H) on a coarse-grid from direct numerical simulations of the underlying unperturbed fluid PDE.
- How can we update the parameters of the subgrid model with real observational data?
- DNS data ought to be good for initializing parameters and learning subgrid parameters. In the case of Lorenz 96, we can compare with rigorous homogenization results.

-  Arnold, V. I. (1966).
On the differential geometry of infinite-dimensional Lie groups and its application to the hydrodynamics of perfect fluids.
In *Vladimir I. Arnold-Collected Works*, pages 33–69. Springer.
-  Bailleul, I. and Gubinelli, M. (2017).
Unbounded rough drivers.
In *Annales de la Faculté des sciences de Toulouse: Mathématiques*, volume 26, pages 795–830.
-  Brzeźniak, Z., Flandoli, F., and Maurelli, M. (2016).
Existence and uniqueness for stochastic 2d euler flows with bounded vorticity.
Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 221(1):107–142.
-  Deya, A., Gubinelli, M., Hofmanova, M., and Tindel, S. (2019).
A priori estimates for rough PDEs with application to rough conservation laws.
Journal of Functional Analysis, 276(12):3577–3645.

-  Ebin, D. G. and Marsden, J. (1970).
Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid.
Annals of Mathematics, pages 102–163.
-  Holm, D. D., Marsden, J. E., and Ratiu, T. S. (1998).
The Euler–Poincaré equations and semidirect products with applications to continuum theories.
Advances in Mathematics, 137(1):1–81.
-  Majda, A. J., Bertozzi, A. L., and Ogawa, A. (2002).
Vorticity and incompressible flow. cambridge texts in applied mathematics.
Appl. Mech. Rev., 55(4):B77–B78.
-  Palmer, T., Buizza, R., Doblas-Reyes, F., Jung, T., Leutbecher, M., Shutts, G., Steinheimer, M., and Weisheimer, A. (2009).
Stochastic parametrization and model uncertainty.



Yoshimura, H. and Marsden, J. E. (2006).

Dirac structures in Lagrangian mechanics Part ii: Variational structures.

Journal of Geometry and Physics, 57(1):209–250.



Yoshimura, H. and Marsden, J. E. (2007).

Reduction of Dirac structures and the Hamilton-Pontryagin principle.

Reports on Mathematical Physics, 60(3):381–426.



Yudovich, V. I. (1963).

Non-stationary flows of an ideal incompressible fluid.

Zhurnal Vychislitel'noi Matematiki i Matematicheskoi Fiziki, 3(6):1032–1066.

Unbounded rough drivers

- Let $(E_n)_{0 \leq n \leq 3}$ be a scale of Banach spaces possessing a smoothing $(J^\eta)_{\eta \in (0,1)}$. Denote $E_{-n} = E_n^*$.
- Assume $L_k \in \mathcal{L}(E_{n+1}, E_n)$, $n \in \{0, 2\}$, $L_k L_l \in \mathcal{L}(E_{n+2}, E_n)$, $n \in \{0, 1\}$.
- Assume that $\mu : [0, T] \rightarrow E_{-1}$ satisfies $|\delta\mu_{st}|_{E_{-1}} \leq \omega_\mu(s, t)$.
- Assume that $f \in C_T E_{-0}$ is such that for all $\phi \in E_3$,

$$\langle f_{st}^{\natural}, \phi \rangle := \langle \delta f_{st}, \phi \rangle + \langle \delta\mu_{st}, \phi \rangle + \langle f_s, (L_k^* \phi Z_{st}^k + L_l^* L_k^* Z_{st}^{lk}) \phi \rangle$$

satisfies $f^{\natural} \in C_{2, \omega_Z, T, \text{loc}}^{\frac{p}{3}-\text{var}} E_{-3}$.

- There exist an $L = L(p) > 0$ such that for all $(s, t) \in \Delta_{[t_0, T]}$ with $\omega_{\mathbf{A}}(s, t) + \omega_\mu(s, t) \leq L$,

$$|f^{\natural}|_{\frac{p}{3}-\text{var}, [s, t], E_{-3}}^{\frac{p}{3}} \leq C \left(\sup_{s \leq r \leq t} |f_r|_{-0} \omega_Z(s, t)^{\frac{3}{p}} + \omega_\mu(s, t) \omega_Z(s, t)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right),$$

$$|f|_{p-\text{var}, [s, t], E_{-0}}^p \leq C \left(\omega_\mu(s, t) + \sup_{s \leq r \leq t} |f_r|_{-0} (\omega_\mu(s, t)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \omega_Z(s, t)^{\frac{1}{p}}) \right).$$

Aspects of the proof

- We form the system of equations of the derivatives of ω up to order $m - 1$, $(\omega^{(m-1)}, \omega^{(m-1), \mathfrak{h}})$, and obtain a priori estimates of $|\omega^{\mathfrak{h}}|_{\frac{p}{3}\text{-var}, W^{m-4,2}}$ and $|\omega|_{p\text{-var}, W^{m-2,2}}$ in terms of $\sup_{s \leq r \leq t} |\omega|_{W^{m-1,2}}$ using URD estimates.
- We form the system of equation for $(\omega^{(m-1)} \otimes \omega^{(m-1)}, \omega^{(m-1), \otimes 2, \mathfrak{h}})$ and obtain a bound on $\omega^{(m-1), \otimes 2, \mathfrak{h}}$ using URD in the L^∞ -scale. We then apply the rough Gronwall lemma [Deya et al., 2019] and Bihari's inequality to obtain estimates of $\sup_{s \leq r \leq t} |\omega|_{W^{m-1,2}}$, thereby closing the a priori estimates.
- To prove uniqueness, we work with the vorticity formulation and develop the equation for the square.